

UNIVERSALITY FOR CERTAIN HERMITIAN WIGNER MATRICES UNDER WEAK MOMENT CONDITIONS

KURT JOHANSSON

ABSTRACT. We study the universality of the local eigenvalue statistics of Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrices. These random matrices are obtained by adding an independent GUE matrix to an Hermitian random matrix with independent elements, a Wigner matrix. We prove that Tracy-Widom universality holds at the edge in this class of random matrices under the optimal moment condition that there is a uniform bound on the fourth moment of the matrix elements. Furthermore, we show that universality holds in the bulk for Gaussian divisible Wigner matrices if we just assume finite second moments.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

1.1. Introduction. An Hermitian Wigner matrix is a random Hermitian matrix with independent elements respecting the Hermitian symmetry. The local eigenvalue statistics of these random matrices is expected to be universal in the sense that it is independent of the distribution of the individual matrix elements, at least under suitable assumptions on the moments of the elements. There are two basic cases. We can either look in the bulk of the spectrum or at the edge around the largest eigenvalue. It is conjectured that, if we assume that the real and imaginary parts of the elements all have mean value zero, variance $\sigma^2 > 0$ and that there is a uniform bound on the fourth moment, then the appropriately scaled eigenvalue point process at the edge should converge to the Airy kernel point process. Furthermore the largest eigenvalue should asymptotically fluctuate according to the Tracy-Widom distribution. This problem is still open, but there are results under stronger moment assumptions. The breakthrough result by Soshnikov, [17], showed that the result is true if the distribution is symmetric and has sub-gaussian tails. Soshnikov's result is based on moment methods. The condition on the moments has been weakened to $18 + \epsilon$ moments (or $36 + \epsilon$ moments, see [1]) in [15].

In the bulk it is expected that the local eigenvalue point process converges to the sine-kernel point process. The exact conditions needed for this to be true are not clear. The result in the bulk was proved for a sub-class of Wigner matrices, so called Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrices in [13]. A Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrix is an Hermitian Wigner matrix W of the form $W = X + \sqrt{\kappa}V$, where X is an Hermitian Wigner matrix and V an independent GUE matrix. In [13] it was assumed that the elements of X have uniformly bounded $6 + \epsilon$ moments. Spectacular progress has recently been made on this problem by Tao and Vu, [19], with their four-moment theorem, and by Erdős, Ramirez, Schlein and H.-T Yau using a different approach, [11]. Tao and Vu assume subexponential

Supported by the Göran Gustafsson Foundation (KVA) and the Swedish Research Council (VR).

tails for the distribution of the matrix elements. Erdős, Ramirez, Schlein and H.-T Yau make rather strong regularity assumptions on the distribution and parts of the argument use methods related to the approach in [13] and this paper. A combined effort, [10], removed some of the assumptions in [19]. Thus, the universality result in the bulk is now established under the assumption of subexponential decay of the tails of the distributions of the matrix elements¹.

Very recently, Tao and Vu, [20], also generalized Soshnikov's result using an approach analogous to that in their paper on bulk universality. They obtain universality at the edge under the assumption of subexponential decay and vanishing third moments. The result in this paper can be used to remove this third moment assumption, see theorem 1.4.

The four-moment theorem indicates that the class of Gaussian divisible Wigner matrices is a good testing ground for what we can expect for General Wigner matrices. In this paper we therefore return to the case of Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrices with the aim of establishing universality results within this class under weak moment conditions. In particular, we prove universality at the edge under the optimal assumption that the fourth moment is finite. It is known that if we have fewer than four moments then the behaviour around the largest eigenvalue is instead described by a Poisson process, see [1], [18], [7].

We also show universality in the bulk within the class of Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrices under the assumption that the second moment is finite. It is not clear that this is the optimal condition. Rather, close to the origin we should still expect sine-kernel universality even if the second moment is infinite, see [9].

The results are obtained using a development of the techniques in [13] which were based on a contour integral formula for a correlation kernel from [8]. In [13] an important tool was a concentration of measure estimate from [12], which led to a uniform estimate of the Stieltjes transform of the empirical spectral measure of X in a region of the complex plane. Here, due to the weak moment assumptions we are unable to use this result and we have to be satisfied with weaker pointwise control of the Stieltjes transform. This requires a modification of the analysis in [13] and a more careful choice of contours, since we do not have the same good control of the empirical spectral measure of X . The pointwise control of expectations of the Stieltjes transform that we need is adapted from [2] and [4].

1.2. Results. We turn now to precise statements of our results. The $n \times n$ random matrix X is an *Hermitian Wigner matrix* if $X = (x_{ij})$ is Hermitian, $\operatorname{Re} x_{ij}$, $\operatorname{Im} x_{ij}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and X_{jj} , $1 \leq j \leq n$ are all independent and satisfy

- (i) $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Re} x_{ij}] = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Im} x_{ij}] = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$,
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{Re} x_{ij})^2] = \mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{Im} x_{ij})^2] = \sigma^2/2$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}[x_{jj}^2] = \sigma^2$.

We will say that W is a Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrix if it can be written

$$(1.1) \quad W = X + \sqrt{\kappa}V,$$

¹Very recently [21] the assumption on the distribution has been reduced to a finite but large number of moments

where X is an Hermitian Wigner matrix, κ a positive constant and V an independent GUE-matrix. We take the GUE-measure to be

$$\frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-\text{tr } V^2/2} dV.$$

Without loss of generality we can choose the variance $\sigma^2 = 1/4$.

Let $\{\lambda_j\}$ be the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{n}W$. Then the sequence $\{\lambda_j/\sqrt{n}\}$ is asymptotically distributed according to the *Wigner semi-circle law*,

$$(1.2) \quad \rho(x) = \frac{2}{\pi(1+4\kappa)} \sqrt{(1+4\kappa-x^2)_+}.$$

Let $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all continuous functions with compact support, and $C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$ the subset of $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ of non-negative functions. For $b > 0$ let

$$(1.3) \quad K_{\text{sine}}^b(u, v) = \frac{\sin b(u-v)}{\pi(u-v)}$$

be the *sine kernel* with density b/π . The *sine-kernel point process* on infinite point configurations $\{\mu_j\}$ on the real line is the determinantal point process defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\text{sine}}^b \left[\exp\left(-\sum_j \psi(\mu_j)\right) \right] = \det(I - \phi^{1/2} K_{\text{sine}}^b \phi^{1/2})$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$, where $\phi = 1 - e^{-\psi}$. Here, the right hand side is the Fredholm determinant on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with kernel $\phi^{1/2} K_{\text{sine}}^b \phi^{1/2}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let W be a Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrix with finite second moments as in (1.1), and let $\{\lambda_j\}$ be the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{n}W$. Assume that $d_n/n \rightarrow d$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $|d| < \sqrt{1+4\kappa}$, and let*

$$(1.5) \quad \beta = \frac{2}{1+4\kappa} \sqrt{1+4\kappa-d^2}.$$

Then,

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi(\lambda_j - d_n)\right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{sine}}^\beta \left[\exp\left(-\sum_j \psi(\mu_j)\right) \right]$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$.

The theorem will be proved in section 2.2. The theorem shows that the appropriately scaled eigenvalue point process converges weakly in the bulk, i.e. in the interior of the support of the semi-circle law, (1.2), to the sine kernel point process with density given by the semi-circle law. This theorem is an extension of the main result theorem in [13], see also [6].

We turn now to the edge behaviour. It is known that if the matrix elements are heavy-tailed with no fourth moment, then the eigenvalue point process at the edge converges to a Poisson point process with a certain density, see [1], [18] and [7]. Thus, in order to get the same edge behaviour as for GUE we have to assume at least that the fourth moment is finite. It is known, see [3], that finite fourth moments is necessary and sufficient for the largest eigenvalue to converge to the edge of the support of the semi-circle. We will show that within the class of Gaussian divisible Wigner matrices finite fourth moments suffices for Tracy-Widom asymptotics.

The eigenvalue statistics of a GUE-matrix at the edge is described by the Airy kernel point process. The *Airy kernel* is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad A(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x+t)\text{Ai}(y+t) dt = \frac{\text{Ai}(x)\text{Ai}'(y) - \text{Ai}'(x)\text{Ai}(y)}{x-y}.$$

The *Airy kernel point process* on infinite point configurations $\{\mu_j\}$ on the real line is the determinantal point process defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\text{Airy}} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_j \psi(\mu_j)\right) \right] = \det(I - \phi^{1/2} A \phi^{1/2}),$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$, where $\phi = 1 - e^{-\psi}$. The Airy kernel point process has almost surely a last particle $\mu_{\max}$ whose distribution is given by the *Tracy-Widom distribution*,

$$(1.9) \quad \mathbb{P}_{\text{Airy}}[\mu_{\max} \leq t] = F_{\text{TW}}(t) = \det(I - A)_{L^2(t, \infty)}.$$

Here $\det(I - A)_{L^2(t, \infty)}$ is the Fredholm determinant of the trace-class operator on $L^2(t, \infty)$ with integral kernel $A(x, y)$.

We can now state our result on the edge statistics.

Theorem 1.2. *Let W be a Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrix, (1.1), with finite fourth moments, i.e. there is a constant $K < \infty$ independent of n such that*

$$(1.10) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{E}[|x_{ij}|^4] \leq K.$$

Let $\{\lambda_j\}$ be the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{n}W$, and let

$$\gamma = \sqrt{1 + 4\kappa}, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\kappa}.$$

Then,

$$(1.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi((\lambda_j - \gamma n)/\delta n^{1/3})\right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{Airy}} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_j \psi(\mu_j)\right) \right]$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, if $\lambda_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j$, then

$$(1.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma n)/\delta n^{1/3} \leq t] = F_{\text{TW}}(t),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The theorem will be proved in section 3.2.

Remark 1.3. When we have two but not four moments we have asymptotically the semi-circle law, the local eigenvalue statistics in the bulk is given by the sine-kernel point process, but the local eigenvalue statistics around the largest eigenvalue, which lies outside the semi-circle, is given by a Poisson process. It would be interesting to investigate the change in statistics as we move towards the edge. In terms of eigenvectors we should move from localized eigenvectors to de-localized eigenvectors. This problem is perhaps even more interesting when we have heavy-tailed distributions with unbounded variance. The global eigenvalue distribution is then no longer given by the semi-circle law and the scaling is different, [5]. See [9] for a discussion. It is possible that the methods of the present paper could be

extended to yield e.g. the sine-kernel point process close to the origin in this case also. This would probably require an improvement of the estimate (2.25), which still holds, but is not good enough.

As mentioned in the introduction Tao and Vu have recently extended the four-moment theorem to the edge, but since they compared with GUE they had to assume vanishing third moment. By combining with theorem 1.2 we can see that the third moment condition is not necessary. We formulate this only for the fluctuations of the largest eigenvalue.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that $M = (m_{ij})$ is an Hermitian Wigner matrix with subexponential decay, i.e. there are constants $C, C' > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}[|m_{ij}| \geq t^C] \leq e^{-t}$$

for all $t \geq C'$ and all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Let $\lambda_{\max}$ be the largest eigenvalue of $\sqrt{n}M$, and assume that the variance $\sigma^2 = 1$. Then

$$(1.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[(\lambda_{\max} - 2n)/n^{1/3} \leq t] = F_{\text{TW}}(t),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We can choose a Gaussian divisible Wigner matrix M' so that the moments of M and M' match up to order three, see [19]. The result then follows from (1.12) and theorem [20], theorem 1.13; compare the proof of theorem 1.16 in [20]. $\square$

2. BULK UNIVERSALITY

2.1. Convergence to the sine kernel point process. Consider n Brownian motions $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ starting at $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n$ and conditioned never to intersect. The random positions at time S then form a determinantal point process with correlation kernel

$$(2.1) \quad K_{n,S}^\nu(u, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 S} \int_{\gamma_L} dz \int_{\Gamma_M} dw e^{(w^2 - 2vw - z^2 + 2uz)/2S} \frac{1}{w - z} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{w - \nu_j}{z - \nu_j},$$

where $\nu = \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$, γ_L is the contour given by the positively oriented rectangle with corners at $\pm L \pm i$ and Γ_M is the contour given by $s \rightarrow M + is$, with $M \geq L$, see [13]. Here L is chosen so large that all the points ν_j lie inside γ_L . Let $\mathbb{E}_\nu$ denote the expectation with respect to the family of non-intersecting Brownian motions, and let $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$. Then,

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \phi(x_j(S))) \right] = \det(I - \phi^{1/2} K_{n,S}^\nu \phi^{1/2}),$$

where the right hand side is a Fredholm determinant on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the finite rank kernel $\phi^{1/2} K_{n,S}^\nu \phi^{1/2}$.

This is useful for studying Gaussian divisible Wigner matrices because of the following fact. Let $\mathbb{E}_X$ denote the expectation with respect to the Wigner matrix X and let $y(X) = \{y_j(X)\}_{j=1}^n$ be the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{n}X$. Furthermore let $\mathbb{E}_W$ denote the expectation with respect to the Gaussian divisible Wigner matrix W , (1.1). Then, [13], for $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}_W \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi(\lambda_j - d_n)\right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\mathbb{E}^{y(X)} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi(x_j(S_n) - d_n)\right) \right] \right],$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}$ are the eigenvalues of W and $S_n = \kappa n$. To use this formula we need good control of the kernel $K_{n,S}^\nu$ given by (2.1) for all $\nu = y(X)$ except a set whose probability is negligible.

Define, for a given set ν and positive number S

$$(2.4) \quad B_{n,S} = \{\nu; \text{there is a } b > 0 \text{ such that } \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S}{\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2} = 1\}.$$

Hence, if $\nu \in B_{n,S}$, there is a unique $b = b(\nu)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S}{\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2} = 1.$$

Furthermore, define for $\nu \in B_{n,S}$,

$$(2.6) \quad D(\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\nu_j}{\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2},$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad A(\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^3 b^2}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)^2}.$$

(We suppress the dependence on n and S in the notation for these quantities.)

We have the following approximation theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *If $\nu \in B_{n,S}$ there is a numerical constant C such that*

$$(2.8) \quad \left| K_{n,S}^\nu(u - SD(\nu), v - SD(\nu)) - \frac{\sin b(\nu)(u - v)}{\pi(u - v)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{SA(\nu)}} e^{3u^2/A(\nu)}.$$

We postpone the proof to section 2.3. The proof is based, as can be expected, on an asymptotic analysis of the integral formula (2.1). The important point is that the analysis can be done in such a way that the dependence on ν only enters in a few quantities, $b(\nu)$, $D(\nu)$ and $A(\nu)$.

Assume now that we have a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_\nu$ with expectation $\mathbb{E}_\nu$ on the point configurations $\nu = \{\nu_j\}$. We can then define a point process $\mu = \{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ on $\mathbb{R}$ depending on S by

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{E}_{n,S} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \phi(\mu_j)) \right] = \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[\mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \phi(x_j(S))) \right] \right]$$

for every $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$.

We now have the following theorem on convergence to the sine kernel point process defined by (1.4).

Theorem 2.2. *Let α_n , β_n , δ_n , ω_n and S_n be sequences such that $S_n > 0$, $\omega_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\omega_n / \log(S_n \alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define*

$$(2.10) \quad C_n = \{\nu \in B_{n,S_n}; A(\nu) \geq \alpha_n, |b(\nu) - \beta_n| \leq 1/\omega_n, |D(\nu) - \delta_n| \leq \sqrt{\omega_n \alpha_n / S_n}\}.$$

Assume that

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\nu[C_n] = 1.$$

Then,

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n, S_n} \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(\mu_j + S_n \delta_n) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{sine}}^\beta \left[\exp \left(\sum_j \psi(\mu_j) \right) \right]$$

for every $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. It is clear from (2.11) and (2.9) that it is enough to prove that

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[1_{C_n} \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(x_j(S_n) + S_n \delta_n) \right) \right] \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{sine}}^\beta \left[\exp \left(\sum_j \psi(\mu_j) \right) \right].$$

Here 1_A denotes the indicator function for the event A . Write $\phi = 1 - e^{-\psi}$. Consider a fixed $\nu \in C_n$ and write

$$L_n^\nu(u, v) = K_{n, S_n}^\nu(u - S_n D(\nu), v - S_n D(\nu))$$

and

$$\phi_n(u) = \phi(u + S_n \delta_n - S_n D(\nu)).$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\phi_n^{1/2}(u) L_n^\nu(u, v) \phi_n^{1/2}(v) - \phi_n^{1/2}(u) K_{\text{sine}}^{b(\nu)} \phi_n^{1/2}(v)| \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{S_n A(\nu)}} e^{Cu^2/S_n A(\nu)} \phi_n^{1/2}(u) \phi_n^{1/2}(v). \end{aligned}$$

There is a constant C such that $\phi_n(u) = 0$ if $|u| \geq S_n |D(\nu) - \delta_n| + C$. Hence, $\phi_n(u) = 0$ if $|u| \geq 2\sqrt{\omega_n \alpha_n S_n}$ for n large since $\nu \in C_n$. If $|u| \leq 2\sqrt{\omega_n \alpha_n S_n}$, then

$$\frac{C}{\sqrt{S_n A(\nu)}} e^{Cu^2/S_n A(\nu)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{S_n A(\nu)}} e^{C\omega_n} \leq \frac{C}{(S_n \alpha_n)^{1/4}}$$

for n large, since $\omega_n / \log(S_n \alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by (2.14)

$$|\phi_n^{1/2}(u) L_n^\nu(u, v) \phi_n^{1/2}(v) - \phi_n^{1/2}(u) K_{\text{sine}}^{b(\nu)} \phi_n^{1/2}(v)| \leq \frac{C}{(S_n \alpha_n)^{1/4}} \phi_n^{1/2}(u) \phi_n^{1/2}(v)$$

for all u, v . For a given $\epsilon > 0$ we thus have

$$(2.15) \quad |\phi_n^{1/2}(u) L_n^\nu(u, v) \phi_n^{1/2}(v) - \phi_n^{1/2}(u) K_{\text{sine}}^\beta \phi_n^{1/2}(v)| \leq \epsilon \phi_n^{1/2}(u) \phi_n^{1/2}(v)$$

for all sufficiently large n uniformly in $\nu \in C_n$, since $|b(\nu) - \beta_n| \leq 1/\omega_n$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If A is an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with integral kernel $A(x, y)$ then the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A is give by $\|A\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |A(x, y)|^2 dx dy$. We now use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If A and B are trace class operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then*

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\det(I - A) - \det(I - B)| \\ & \leq \|A - B\|_2 e^{-\text{tr } A + (\|A - B\|_2 + 2\|B\|_2 + 1)^2/2} + e^{(\|B\|_2 + 1)^2/2 - \text{tr } B} (e^{-(\text{tr } A - \text{tr } B)} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved in section 3.4.

It follows from (2.2) and a translation of variables that

$$(2.17) \quad \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(x_j(S_n) + S_n \delta_n) \right) \right] = \det(I - \phi_n^{1/2} L_n^\nu \phi_n^{1/2}).$$

Using (2.15), (2.16) and the fact that the sine kernel is translation invariant it is now straightforward to see that

$$|\det(I - \phi_n^{1/2} L_n^\nu \phi_n^{1/2}) - \det(I - \phi^{1/2} K_{\text{sine}}^\beta \phi^{1/2})| \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for $\nu \in C_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof by (2.13) and (2.17). $\square$

2.2. Proof of bulk universality. In this section we will prove theorem 1.1 on bulk universality for Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrices with finite second moment using the convergence theorem 2.2. Define

$$(2.18) \quad m_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{y_j - z} = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} (X/\sqrt{n} - z)^{-1}$$

for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$. Then

$$(2.19) \quad \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(z)] \rightarrow m(z) = -2z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (convergence to the semi-circle law), for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im } z \neq 0$. Let $\delta + \beta i$, $\beta > 0$, be given by

$$(2.20) \quad m(d + \kappa(\delta + \beta i)) = \delta + \beta i,$$

which gives

$$(2.21) \quad \delta = -\frac{2d}{1+4\kappa}, \quad \beta = \frac{2}{1+4\kappa} \sqrt{1+4\kappa-d^2}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *There is a sequence $\delta_n + \beta_n i$, $\beta_n > 0$, such that*

$$(2.22) \quad \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(d_n/n + \kappa(\delta_n + \beta_n i))] = \delta_n + \beta_n i$$

and $\delta_n + \beta_n i \rightarrow \delta + \beta i$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Define $g_n(z) = \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(d_n/n + \kappa z)] - z$. Then g_n is analytic in $\text{Im } z > 0$. Since

$$|m_n(d_n/n + \kappa z) - m_n(d + \kappa z)| \leq \frac{|d_n - d|}{(\kappa \text{Im } z)^2}$$

and $d_n/n \rightarrow d$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from (2.19) that $g_n(z) \rightarrow g(z) = m(d + \kappa z) - z$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\text{Im } z > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (by Montel's theorem). Since $g(\delta + \beta i) = 0$ by (2.20) it follows by Hurwitz' theorem that there is a sequence $\delta_n + \beta_n i$ such that $g_n(\delta_n + \beta_n i) = 0$ and $\delta_n + \beta_n i \rightarrow \delta + \beta i$. $\square$

Set

$$(2.23) \quad c_n = d_n/n + \delta_n, \quad \nu_j = y_j - c_n S_n.$$

The probability measure on X induces a probability measure on $\nu = \{\nu_j\}$ that we denote by $\mathbb{P}_\nu$. Now, using (2.1), we see that

$$K_{n,S_n}^y(u + c_n S_n, v + c_n S_n) = e^{((u+c_n S_n)^2 - (v+c_n S_n)^2 + v^2 - u^2)/2S_n} K_{n,S_n}^\nu(u, v)$$

and from this it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}^y \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(x_j(S_n) - d_n) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(x_j(S_n) + \delta_n S_n) \right) \right]$$

Hence,

$$(2.24) \quad \mathbb{E}_W \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(\lambda_j - d_n) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[\mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(x_j(S_n) + S_n \delta_n) \right) \right] \right].$$

Choose $\alpha_n = \alpha > 0$ fixed, to be specified below, β_n and δ_n as in lemma 2.4, $S_n = \kappa n$ and $\omega_n = \sqrt{\log n}$. Then theorem 1.1 follows if we can show that $\mathbb{P}_\nu[C_n] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with C_n as in (2.10).

To prove this we will use

Lemma 2.5. *For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im } z \neq 0$ we have the estimate*

$$(2.25) \quad \mathbb{E}_X[|m_n(z) - \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(z)]|^2] \leq \frac{2}{n|\text{Im } z|^2}.$$

This is proved in [2]. For convenience we give the proof in the appendix.

Define

$$M_n(\tau) = m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \tau i) - \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \tau i)].$$

Note that, by (2.18) and (2.23)

$$(2.26) \quad m_n(\kappa c_n + z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu_j/n - z}.$$

Set

$$V_n = \{\nu; |M_n(\tau)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}} \text{ for } \tau = \beta_n, \beta/2, 2\beta \text{ and } 3\beta\}.$$

The result we need now follows from

Lemma 2.6. *The following statements hold.*

- (i) $\mathbb{P}_\nu[V_n] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) *There is an $\alpha > 0$ such that if we choose $\alpha_n = \alpha$ and the other sequences as above, then $V_n \subseteq B_{n, S_n}$ and $V_n \cap B_{n, S_n} \subseteq C_n$, if n is large enough.*

Proof. Let $\tau > 0$ be fixed. Then by Chebyshev's inequality and lemma 2.5

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu[|M_n(\tau)| > \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}}] \leq \frac{n}{\omega_n} \mathbb{E}_X[|m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \tau i) - \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \tau i)]|^2] \leq \frac{2}{\omega_n \kappa^2 \tau^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We can apply this to $\tau = \beta_n, \beta/2, 2\beta$ and 3β noting that $\beta_n \geq \beta/2$ if n is large enough. This proves (i).

Note that

$$(2.27) \quad \text{Re } m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \tau i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\nu_j}{\nu_j^2 + \tau^2 S_n^2}$$

and

$$(2.28) \quad \text{Im } m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \tau i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n \tau}{\nu_j^2 + \tau^2 S_n^2}$$

Furthermore,

$$h(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \operatorname{Im} m(x + i\tau) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{(t-x)^2 + \tau^2} dt$$

is strictly decreasing in τ for each fixed x .

Define

$$U_n = \left\{ \nu; \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{\nu_j^2 + 4\beta^2 S_n^2} < 1 < \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{\nu_j^2 + \beta^2 S_n^2/4} \right\}.$$

We want to show that $V_n \subseteq U_n$ if n is large enough. Since $h(\tau)$ is strictly decreasing, (2.20) gives

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} \operatorname{Im} m(\kappa c + 2\kappa\beta i) < 1 - \epsilon < 1 = \frac{1}{\beta} \operatorname{Im} m(\kappa c + \kappa\beta i) < 1 + \epsilon < \frac{2}{\beta} \operatorname{Im} m(\kappa c + \kappa\beta i/2),$$

if we choose ϵ small enough. Here $c = d/\kappa + \delta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$. It follows from this and (2.19) that

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} |\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + 2\kappa\beta i)]| \leq 1 - \epsilon < 1 + \epsilon \leq \frac{2}{\beta} |\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa\beta i/2)]|$$

for all n large enough. If $\nu \in V_n$ it follows from this that

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} \operatorname{Im} m_n(\kappa c_n + 2\kappa\beta i) \leq 1 - \epsilon + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}} < 1 < 1 + \epsilon - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}} \leq \frac{2}{\beta} \operatorname{Im} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa\beta i/2),$$

and we see from (2.28) that this gives $\nu \in U_n$.

Hence, if n is large enough, then

$$(2.29) \quad \beta/2 \leq b(\nu) \leq 2\beta$$

for all $\nu \in V_n$. Let $\nu \in V_n$. Then, using (2.29), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\nu) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n^3 b^2}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S_n^2)^2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n^3 \beta^2}{(\nu_j^2 + 4\beta^2 S_n^2)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{S_n}{20} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{5S_n^2 \beta^2}{(\nu_j^2 + 4\beta^2 S_n^2)(\nu_j^2 + 9\beta^2 S_n^2)} = \frac{1}{20} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{\nu_j^2 + 4\beta^2 S_n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{\nu_j^2 + 9\beta^2 S_n^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.19), (2.28) and the fact that $\nu \in V_n$ it follows from this that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\nu) &\geq \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} \operatorname{Im} M_n(2\beta) - \frac{1}{3\beta} \operatorname{Im} M_n(3\beta) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + 2\kappa\beta i)] - \frac{1}{3\beta} \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + 3\kappa\beta i)] \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{40} \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} \operatorname{Im} m(\kappa c + 2\kappa\beta i) - \frac{1}{3\beta} \operatorname{Im} m(\kappa c + 3\kappa\beta i) \right) \doteq \alpha > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for large n .

Next, we will show that, if n is large enough,

$$(2.30) \quad |b(\nu) - \beta_n| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{\omega_n}$$

for all $\nu \in V_n$. It follows from (2.5), (2.22), (2.28) and $\nu \in V_n$, that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{\nu_j^2 + \beta_n^2 S_n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{\nu_j^2 + b^2 S_n^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}},$$

which implies

$$|b^2 - \beta_n| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n^3}{(\nu_j^2 + \beta_n^2 S_n^2)(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S_n^2)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}}.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n^3}{(\nu_j^2 + \beta_n^2 S_n^2)(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S_n^2)} \geq \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n^3 \beta^2}{(\nu_j^2 + 4\beta^2 S_n^2)(\nu_j^2 + 9\beta^2 S_n^2)} \geq \frac{20\alpha}{\beta^2}$$

by the previous argument, since $\beta_n \leq 3\beta$ for large n and $b \leq 2\beta$ by (3.31). Consequently,

$$|b - \beta_n| \leq \frac{\beta}{20\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}},$$

since $b + \beta_n \geq \beta/2 + \beta_n \geq \beta$ for large n . This proves (2.30).

It remains to show that

$$(2.31) \quad |D(\nu) - \delta_n| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}}$$

for all $\nu \in$ and large n . It follows from (2.22) and (2.27) that

$$\begin{aligned} D(\nu) - \delta_n &= \operatorname{Re} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa b i) - \operatorname{Re} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \beta_n i) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \beta_n i) - \operatorname{Re} \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \beta_n i)]. \end{aligned}$$

We can use (2.29) and (2.30) to show that

$$|\operatorname{Re} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa b i) - \operatorname{Re} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \beta_n i)| \leq \frac{\kappa |b - \beta_n|}{\kappa^2 \beta_n b} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}}.$$

Furthermore, the definition of V_n gives

$$|\operatorname{Re} m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \beta_n i) - \operatorname{Re} \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(\kappa c_n + \kappa \beta_n i)]| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{n}}$$

for all $\nu \in V_n$.

This proves (ii) of lemma 2.6. $\square$

2.3. Proof of the approximation theorem. In this section we will prove the convergence theorem 2.1. A change of variables gives

$$(2.32) \quad K_{n,S}^\nu(u, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma_L} dz \int_{\Gamma_M} dw e^{S(w^2 - z^2)/2 + uz - vw} \frac{1}{w - z} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{Sw - \nu_j}{Sz - \nu_j},$$

where γ_L is the positively oriented rectangle with corners at $\pm L \pm bi$, $|\nu_j| < L$ for all j and $M > L$. Set

$$(2.33) \quad f(z) = \frac{z^2}{2} + D(\nu)z + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^n \log(Sz - \nu_j)$$

and

$$(2.34) \quad \tilde{K}_{n,S}^\nu(u, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma} dz \int_{\Gamma} dw e^{uz - vw} \frac{1}{w - z} e^{S(f(w) - f(z))},$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_+ + \gamma_-$ and $\gamma_\pm : t \rightarrow \mp t \pm ib$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 : s \rightarrow is$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If we move Γ_M to Γ_0 and let $L \rightarrow \infty$ it follows from the residue theorem that

$$(2.35) \quad K_{n,S}^\nu(u - SD(\nu), v - SD(\nu)) - \frac{\sin b(u - v)}{\pi(u - v)} = \tilde{K}_{n,S}^\nu(u, v).$$

Hence, theorem 2.1, follows from

$$(2.36) \quad |\tilde{K}_{n,S}^\nu(u, v)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{SA(\nu)}} e^{3u^2/SA(\nu)}$$

for all $v \in B_{n,S}$. In order to prove this inequality we have to choose the right contours in (2.34). The following computation motivates the choice of contours.

Let $z(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$ and set $g(t) = \operatorname{Re} f(z(t))$. Then, using (2.5) and (2.6) we see that

$$(2.37) \quad g' = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{S(xx' - yy') + x\nu_j}{\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2} + \frac{S(xx' + yy') - x'\nu_j}{(Sx - \nu_j)^2 + S^2 y^2} \right].$$

If we write the sum of the two fractions in (2.37) as one fraction the numerator becomes

$$S^2[-x^2 x' + 2xyy' + y^2 x' - b^2 x']\nu_j + S^3[(xx' - yy')(x^2 + y^2) + b^2(xx' + yy')].$$

We try to choose $z(t)$ so that the expression in the numerator is independent of ν_j . This gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}[-\frac{1}{3}x^3 + y^2 x - b^2 x] = 0$$

or

$$x[-\frac{1}{3}x^2 + y^2 - b^2] = C.$$

If $x(0) = 0$, $y(0) = \pm b$ we get $C = 0$ and two possibilities $z(t) = i(t \pm b)$ or $z(t) = t \pm i\sqrt{t^2/3 + b^2}$.

If we take $z(t) = i(t \pm b)$ we get

$$(2.38) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} f(z(t)) = -St \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^2(t \pm b)(t \pm 2b)}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)(\nu_j^2 + (t \pm b)^2 S^2)}.$$

If instead we take $z(t) = t \pm i\sqrt{t^2/3 + b^2}$ we obtain

$$(2.39) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} f(z(t)) = St \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{8S^2 t^2/9 + 2b^2 S^2}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)((St - \nu_j)^2 + (t^2/3 + b^2)S^2)}.$$

Using this result we can prove

Lemma 2.7. *Let $w_\pm(s) = i(s \pm ib)$ and $z_\pm(t) = t \pm i\sqrt{t^2/3 + b^2}$. Assume that $\nu \in B_{n,S}$.*

(i) *If $\pm s + b \geq 0$, then*

$$(2.40) \quad \operatorname{Re}(f(w_\pm(s)) - f(\pm bi)) \leq -\frac{1}{6}A(\nu)s^2.$$

(ii) *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(2.41) \quad \operatorname{Re}(f(\pm bi) - f(z_\pm(t))) \leq -\frac{1}{6}A(\nu)t^2.$$

Proof. We see that, for $-b \leq s \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(f(w_+(s)) - f(bi)) &= S^3 \int_s^0 t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(t+b)(t+2b)}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)(\nu_j^2 + (b+t)^2 S^2)} dt \\ &\leq S^3 \int_s^0 t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(b+t)b}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{A(\nu)}{b} \left(-\frac{s^2}{3}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2}b + s\right) \leq -\frac{A(\nu)}{6} s^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $s \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(f(w_+(s)) - f(bi)) &= S^3 \int_0^s t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(t+b)(t+2b)}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)(\nu_j^2 + (b+t)^2 S^2)} dt \\ &\leq -\int_0^s t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^3(t+b)^2}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)(\nu_j^2 + (b+t)^2 S^2)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

If we use the fact that $x \rightarrow x^2(\nu^2 + x^2)^{-1}$ is increasing in $x \geq b$, we see that the last expression is

$$\leq -A(\nu) \int_0^s t dt = -\frac{1}{2} A(\nu) s^2.$$

The contour $w_-(s)$ is treated analogously. This proves (i) in the lemma.

Now, for $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(f(z_+(s)) - f(bi)) &= S \int_0^t \tau \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{8S^2 \tau^2 / 9 + 2b^2 S^2}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)((S\tau - \nu_j)^2 + S^2(\tau^2 / 3 + b^2))} d\tau \\ &\geq S \int_0^t \tau \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{8S^2 \tau^2 / 9 + 2b^2 S^2}{(\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2)(2\nu_j^2 + 7S^2 \tau^2 / 3 + b^2 S^2)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{8S^2 \tau^2 / 9 + 2b^2 S^2}{2\nu_j^2 + 7S^2 \tau^2 / 3 + b^2 S^2} \geq \frac{1}{3} \frac{S^2 b^2}{\nu_j^2 + b^2 S^2}$$

and hence we obtain (2.41) for $z_+(t)$ and $t \geq 0$. The argument for $t \leq 0$ and the argument for $z_-(t)$ are similar. $\square$

We can now prove the estimate (2.36). Let $-\gamma_+$ be given by $z_+(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, γ_- by $z_-(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Γ_+ by $w_+(s)$, $s \geq -b$ and Γ_- by $w_-(s)$, $s \leq b$. Then,

$$\tilde{K}_{n,S}^\nu(u, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma_+ + \gamma_-} dz \int_{\Gamma_+ + \Gamma_-} dw e^{uz - vw} \frac{1}{w - z} e^{S(f(w) - f(z))},$$

Consider the case when z lies on γ_+ and w on Γ_+ . The other cases are similar. Now, by lemma 2.7

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma_+} dz \int_{\Gamma_+} dw e^{uz - vw} \frac{1}{w - z} e^{S(f(w) - f(z))} \right| \\ (2.42) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-b}^{\infty} ds \frac{e^{ut}}{\sqrt{t^2 + (b + s - \sqrt{t^2/3 + b^2})^2}} e^{-SA(\nu)(s^2 + t^2)/6}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t^2 + (b + s - \sqrt{t^2/3 + b^2})^2 \geq (t^2 + s^2)/3$, we see that the expression in the right hand side of (2.42) is

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{ut}}{\sqrt{t^2 + s^2}} e^{-SA(\nu)(s^2+t^2)/6} \leq \frac{C}{SA(\nu)} e^{3u^2/A(\nu)},$$

where C is a numerical constant. This completes the proof of the approximation theorem.

3. EDGE UNIVERSALITY

3.1. Convergence to the Airy kernel point process. Let $\nu = \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $S > 0$ be given. We can then choose $b = b(\nu)$ so that $bS > \max \nu_j$ and

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S}{(bS - \nu_j)^2} = 1.$$

Define $a = a(\nu)$ and $d = d(\nu)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad a = b + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{bS - \nu_j}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad d = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^2}{(bS - \nu_j)^3} \right)^{1/3}.$$

Let $0 < \alpha_0 < \beta_0$ be given and define

$$(3.4) \quad F_n = \{\nu; \alpha_0 \leq b - \nu_j/S \leq \beta_0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

We then have the following estimate and limit result for the correlation kernel given by (2.1).

Theorem 3.1. *There are constants C and S_0 depending only on α_0, β_0 so that*

$$(3.5) \quad dS^{1/3} K_{n,S}^\nu(aS + \xi dS^{1/3}, aS + \xi dS^{1/3}) \leq Ce^{-\xi}$$

for all $\nu \in F_n$, $\xi \geq 0$ and $S \geq S_0$. Furthermore, if $S = \kappa n$, with $\kappa > 0$ fixed, then

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} dS_n^{1/3} e^{(\eta - \xi)dS_n^{1/3}} K_{n,S}^\nu(aS_n + \xi dS_n^{1/3}, aS_n + \eta dS_n^{1/3}) = A(\xi, \eta)$$

uniformly for $\nu \in F_n$ and ξ, η in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Here $A(\xi, \eta)$ is the Airy kernel (1.7).

The theorem will be proved in section 3.3.

Let γ_n and ϵ_n be given sequences of positive numbers, where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Take $S_n = \kappa n$, $\kappa > 0$, let $\delta > 0$ be given and define

$$(3.7) \quad G_n = \{\nu \in F_n; \left| \frac{a(\nu) - \gamma_n}{n^{1/3}} \right| \leq \epsilon_n, |d(\nu) - \delta| \leq \epsilon_n\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_\nu$ be a probability measure on point configurations $\nu = \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathbb{E}_{n,S_n}$ be the expectation for the point process $\mu = \{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by (2.9).

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that there is a choice of $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_n, \epsilon_n, \delta$, where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that*

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\nu[G_n] = 1.$$

Then, for any $\psi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n, S_n} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi((\mu_j - \gamma_n)/\delta n^{1/3})\right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{Airy}} \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi(\mu_j)\right) \right].$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n, S_n} \left[\frac{1}{\delta n^{1/3}} (\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mu_j - \gamma_n) \leq t \right] = F_{TW}(t)$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We see from (2.9), with $\phi = 1 - e^{-\psi}$, and (3.8) that to prove (3.9) it is enough to show that

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[1_{G_n} \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi((\mu_j - \gamma_n)/\delta n^{1/3})\right) \right] \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{Airy}} \left[e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi(\mu_j)} \right].$$

Let

$$\tilde{K}_n^\nu(\xi, \eta) = dS_n^{1/3} e^{(\xi - \eta)dS_n^{1/3}} K_{n, S_n}^\nu(aS_n + \xi dS_n^{1/3}, aS_n + \eta dS_n^{1/3})$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(\xi) = \phi(\xi d/\delta + (aS_n - \gamma_n)/\delta n^{1/3}).$$

Then,

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi((\mu_j - \gamma_n)/\delta n^{1/3})\right) \right] = \det(I - \tilde{\phi}_n^{1/2} \tilde{K}_n^\nu \tilde{\phi}_n^{1/2}).$$

If $\nu \in G_n$ there is a constant C , depending on ϕ , such that

$$(3.13) \quad |\tilde{\phi}_n(\xi) - \phi(\xi)| \leq C\epsilon_n.$$

If we use (3.6), (3.13) and the fact that ϕ has compact support, we can use lemma 2.3 to show that

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det(I - \tilde{\phi}_n^{1/2} \tilde{K}_n^\nu \tilde{\phi}_n^{1/2}) = \det(I - \phi^{1/2} A \phi^{1/2}),$$

uniformly for $\nu \in G_n$, where A is the Airy kernel, (1.7). The limit (3.9) now follows from (2.9), (3.6), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13).

It remains to show (3.10). Again, from (3.8), we see that it is enough to show that

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\nu[1_{G_n} \mathbb{E}^\nu[1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta t n^{1/3}, \infty)=0}]] = F_{TW}(t),$$

where $\#(x, y)$ is the number of points in (x, y) . Take $\tau > t$. Then,

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\nu[1_{G_n} \mathbb{E}^\nu[1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta t n^{1/3}, \gamma_n + \tau \delta n^{1/3})=0}]] = \det(I - A)_{L^2(t, \tau)}$$

follows by an argument analogous to the one above used to prove (3.9). Now,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\nu[1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta t n^{1/3}, \infty)=0}] &= \mathbb{E}^\nu[1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta t n^{1/3}, \gamma_n + \tau \delta n^{1/3})=0}] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}^\nu[1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta \tau n^{1/3}, \infty) \geq 1} 1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta t n^{1/3}, \gamma_n + \tau \delta n^{1/3})=0}]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the right hand side of (3.17) is bounded by

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^\nu[1_{\#(\gamma_n + \delta\tau n^{1/3}, \infty) \geq 1}] \leq \mathbb{E}^\nu[\#(\gamma_n + \delta\tau n^{1/3}, \infty)] \\ &= \int_{\gamma_n + \delta\tau n^{1/3}}^{\infty} K_{n, S_n}^\nu(x, x) dx \leq C \int_{(\gamma - aS_n)/dn^{1/3} + \delta\tau/d}^{\infty} e^{-\xi} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.5) if τ is sufficiently large, since then

$$(3.19) \quad (\gamma - aS_n)/dn^{1/3} + \delta\tau/d \geq -\epsilon_n/\alpha_0 + \delta\tau/\beta_0 \geq 0.$$

Hence, by (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19),

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E}_\nu[1_{G-n} \mathbb{E}^\nu[\#(\gamma_n + t\delta\tau n^{1/3}, \infty)]] - \det(I - A)_{L^2(t, \infty)} \right| \\ & \leq |\det(I - A)_{L^2(t, \infty)} - \det(I - A)_{L^2(t, \tau)}| + C \int_{-\epsilon_n/\alpha_0 + \delta\tau/\beta_0}^{\infty} e^{-\xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ the right hand side of (3.20) goes to zero and we have proved (3.10). $\square$

3.2. Proof of edge universality. In this section we will prove theorem 1.2 on edge universality for Gaussian divisible Hermitian Wigner matrices with finite fourth moments.

Let $\nu = y$, where $y = \{y_j\}$ are the eigenvalues of X . The expectation $\mathbb{E}_X$ on X induces an expectation $\mathbb{E}_\nu$ on ν . By (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_W \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi((\lambda_j - \gamma_n)/\delta n^{1/3})\right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[\mathbb{E}^\nu \left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \psi((x_j(S_n) - \gamma_n)/\delta n^{1/3})\right) \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 3.2 it is enough to show that there is a choice of $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_n, \epsilon_n$ and δ , where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that (3.8) holds with G_n defined by (3.7) and F_n by (3.4).

Let $u(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - x^2}$ be the Wigner semi-circle law with support in $[-1, 1]$. We can choose $b_0 > 1/\kappa$ so that

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa u(x)}{(b_0\kappa - x)^2} dx = 1,$$

which gives $b_0 = (1 + 2\kappa)(1 + 4\kappa)^{-1/2}$ by (2.19). Let

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1 + 2\kappa}{\sqrt{1 + 4\kappa}} - 1 \right),$$

so that $b_0\kappa \geq 1 + 3\epsilon$. We take $\gamma_n = n\sqrt{1 + 4\kappa}$ and note that

$$(3.22) \quad \gamma_n = n \left(b_0\kappa + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa u(x)}{b_0\kappa - x} dx \right).$$

Also, we choose $\delta = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\kappa}$ and note that

$$(3.23) \quad \delta^3 = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa^3}{(b_0\kappa - x)^3} u(x) dx$$

Furthermore, we take $\epsilon_n = (\log n)^{-1}$, $\alpha_0 = \epsilon/\kappa$ and $\beta_0 = b_0 + (1 + 2\epsilon)/\kappa$.

Define the function ψ_β by

$$\psi_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa\beta - x}, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 + \epsilon \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq 1 + 3\epsilon, \end{cases}$$

and for $1 + \epsilon \leq |x| \leq 1 + 3\epsilon$ we define ψ_β so that it becomes a C^∞ function.

Set

$$H'_n = \{\nu; \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\nu_j| \leq 1 + \epsilon\}.$$

and

$$H_n = H'_n \cap \left\{ \nu; \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \psi'_{2b_0}(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \psi'_{2b_0}(x)u(x) dx \right| \leq n^{1/6} \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{b_0}^{(j)}(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \psi_{b_0}^{(j)}(x)u(x) dx \right| \leq n^{1/6} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2 \right\}.$$

We will prove the following lemma below.

Lemma 3.3. *Let H_n be defined as above. Then,*

$$(3.24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\nu[H_n] = 1.$$

Before we prove the lemma we will use it to show what we want by proving that $H_n \subseteq G_n$.

Let us first show that there is a constant C so that

$$(3.25) \quad |b(\nu) - b_0| \leq Cn^{-5/6}$$

for all $\nu \in H_n$. We see from (3.1), (3.21) and the definition of ψ_{b_0} that

$$(3.26) \quad \left| \int_{-1}^1 \psi'_{b_0}(x)u(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \psi'_{b_0}(\nu_j/n) \right| = \left| 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{(b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^2} \right| \\ = S_n^2 |b - b_0| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{b_0 S_n - \nu_j + b S_n - \nu_j}{(b S_n - \nu_j)^2 (b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^2}.$$

We want to show that $b \leq 2b_0$. Since $\nu \in H_n$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \psi'_{2b_0}(\nu_j/n) \leq \int_{-1}^1 \psi'_{2b_0}(x)u(x) dx + n^{-5/6},$$

which gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{(2b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^2} \leq \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa u(x)}{(2b_0 \kappa - x)^2} dx + n^{-5/6} < \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa u(x)}{(b_0 \kappa - x)^2} dx = 1$$

if n is sufficiently large. Hence $b \leq 2b_0$. This gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(b_0 S_n - \nu_j + b S_n - \nu_j) S_n^2}{(b S_n - \nu_j)^2 (b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^2} \geq 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^{1/2} (b S_n - \nu_j)^{1/2} S_n^2}{(b S_n - \nu_j)^2 (b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^2} \\ \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2 S_n^2}{(b S_n - \nu_j)^{3/2} (b_0 S_n - \nu_j)^{3/2}} \geq \frac{2 \kappa^2}{(2b_0 \kappa + 1 + \epsilon)^{3/2} (b_0 \kappa + 1 + \epsilon)^{3/2}} \doteq c_1,$$

since $\nu_j/n \geq -1 - \epsilon$ if $\nu \in H_n$. Thus (3.26) implies

$$c_1|b - b_0| \leq \left| \int_{-1}^1 \psi'_{b_0}(x)u(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \psi'_{b_0}(\nu_j/n) \right| \leq n^{-5/6}.$$

This proves (3.25).

Next, we show that for all $\nu \in H_n$,

$$(3.27) \quad \left| \frac{a(\nu)S_n - \gamma_n}{n^{1/3}} \right| \leq n^{-1/6}.$$

Define,

$$(3.28) \quad a_0 = b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b_0 S_n - \nu_j}$$

an approximate version of (3.2). Then, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (a - a_0)S_n &= (b - b_0)S_n \left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{(bS_n - \nu_j)(b_0 S_n - \nu_j)} \right] \\ &= -(b - b_0)^2 S_n^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{(bS_n - \nu_j)^2 (b_0 S_n - \nu_j)} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$b_0 S_n - \nu_j \geq n(b_0 \kappa - (1 + \epsilon)) \geq 2\epsilon n,$$

which gives

$$|a - a_0|S_n \leq \frac{\kappa^2 n}{2\epsilon} |b - b_0|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n}{(bS_n - \nu_j)^2} \leq Cn^{-2/3}.$$

by (3.25) and (3.1). From (3.25) we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_0 S_n - \gamma_n| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\kappa n}{b_0 \kappa n - \nu_j} - n \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa u(x)}{b_0 \kappa - x} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{b_0}(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \psi_{b_0}(x)u(x) dx \right| \leq n^{1/6} \end{aligned}$$

since $\nu \in H_n$. Hence,

$$\left| \frac{a(\nu)S_n - \gamma_n}{n^{1/3}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} |a - a_0|S_n + \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} |a_0 S_n - \gamma_n| \leq Cn^{-1/6}.$$

This proves (3.27).

If n is so large that $\kappa|b - b_0| \leq \epsilon$ for all $\nu \in H_n$, which we can achieve by (3.25), then using $|\nu_j/n| \leq 1 + \epsilon$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(b - \nu_j/S_n) &= \kappa(b - b_0) + \kappa b_0 - (1 + \epsilon) + 1 + \epsilon - \nu_j/n \\ &\geq \kappa b_0 - (1 + \epsilon) + 1 + \epsilon - \nu_j/n - \kappa|b - b_0| \geq \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so we have $b - \nu_j/S_n \geq \epsilon/\kappa \doteq \alpha_0$. Furthermore,

$$\kappa(b - \nu_j/S_n) = \kappa(b - b_0) + \kappa b_0 - \nu_j/n \leq \epsilon + \kappa b_0 + 1 + \epsilon \doteq \kappa \beta_0.$$

Thus, $H_n \subseteq F_n$ with these choices of α_0 and β_0 .

Finally, we want to control $|d(\nu) - \delta|$. By (3.3) and (3.23),

$$\begin{aligned} d^3 - \delta^3 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S_n^2}{(bS_n - \nu_j)^3} - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa^3 u(x)}{(b_0\kappa - x)^3} dx \\ (3.29) \quad &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{S_n^2}{(bS_n - \nu_j)^3} - \frac{S_n^2}{(b_0S_n - \nu_j)^3} \right) + \frac{\kappa^2}{2n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{b_0}''(\nu_j/n) - \int_{-1}^1 \psi_{b_0}''(x)u(x) dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu \in H_n$,

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{\kappa^2}{2n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{b_0}''(\nu_j/n) - \int_{-1}^1 \psi_{b_0}''(x)u(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{\kappa^2}{2} n^{-5/6}.$$

Using $b - \nu_j/n \in [\alpha_0, \beta_0]$ and (3.25) we see that

$$(3.31) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{S_n^2}{(bS_n - \nu_j)^3} - \frac{S_n^2}{(b_0S_n - \nu_j)^3} \right) \right| \leq Cn^{-5/6}.$$

Since $|d^3 - \delta^3| \geq |d - \delta|\delta^2$, (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31) give $|d(\nu) - \delta| \leq Cn^{-5/6}$. We see that $H_n \subseteq G_n$, which is what we wanted to prove.

It remains to prove lemma 3.3. For this we will use the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{\nu_j\}$ be the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{n}X$, where X is an Hermtian Wigner matrix with finite fourth moments. Assume that $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is real-valued and let $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ be given. Then there is a constant C , depending on ϕ and ϵ_0 , so that*

$$(3.32) \quad \mathbb{E}_X \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \phi(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \phi(x)u(x) dx \right)^2 \right] \leq Cn^{\epsilon_0}.$$

Before we prove lemma 3.4 we will use it to prove lemma 3.3.

Proof. (of lemma 3.3) It follows from theorem 2.12 in [3] that $\mathbb{P}[H'_n] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\phi = \psi'_{2b_0}, \psi_{b_0}, \psi'_{b_0}$ or ψ''_{b_0} then $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and lemma 3.4 with $\epsilon_0 = 1/6$ gives

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \phi(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \phi(x)u(x) dx \right| \geq n^{1/6} \right] \leq \frac{Cn^{1/6}}{n^{1/3}},$$

by Chebyshev's inequality. This proves lemma 3.3. $\square$

Proof. (of lemma 3.4). Pick $A > 0$. There is a function $\psi_A \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \psi_A \leq 1$, $\psi_A(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq A$, $\text{supp } \psi_A \subseteq [-(A+1), A+1]$ and $|\psi_A^{(r)}(x)| \leq c_m$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq r \leq m$, where the constant c_m is independent of A . For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$(3.33) \quad \phi_A(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_A(\xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi) e^{i\xi z} d\xi,$$

which is an entire function of z . Here,

$$(3.34) \quad \hat{\phi}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi x} \phi(x) dx$$

is the Fourier transform of ϕ . The function ϕ_A has the following properties. There is a constant C independent of A so that

$$(3.35) \quad |\phi_A(z)| \leq \frac{Ce^{(A+1)|\operatorname{Im} z|}}{|z|^2}$$

if $z \neq 0$, and

$$(3.36) \quad |\phi_A(z)| \leq Ce^{(A+1)|\operatorname{Im} z|}$$

for all z . Furthermore, given $m \geq 1$, there is a constant C_m so that

$$(3.37) \quad |\phi(x) - \phi_A(x)| \leq \frac{C_m}{A^m}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The inequality (3.36) follows immediately from (3.33) and $|\hat{\phi}| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$. Integration by parts gives

$$\phi_A(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi(i z)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} (\psi_A(\xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi)) e^{i\xi z} d\xi.$$

The properties of ψ_A and suitable estimates of $\hat{\phi}$ and its derivatives obtained from (3.34) using integration by parts, now gives (3.35). The estimate (3.37) is also easy to prove using integration by parts.

Let $\gamma_\pm$ be given by $t \rightarrow \mp t \pm iv$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $v > 0$ is fixed, and let $\gamma = \gamma_+ + \gamma_-$. Cauchy's integral formula and the estimate (3.35) show that we can represent ϕ_A by

$$(3.38) \quad \phi_A(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi_A(z)}{z - x} dz.$$

We now turn to the proof of (3.32). Write $r_A = \phi - \phi_A$. Then,

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_X \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \phi(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \phi(x) u(x) dx \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_X \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \phi_A(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \phi_A(x) u(x) dx \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & + \mathbb{E}_X \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n r_A(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 r_A(x) u(x) dx \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the right hand side of (3.39) is $\leq nC_m/A^m$ by (3.37), which is $\leq n^{\epsilon_0/2}$ if $A = (C_m n^{1-\epsilon_0/2})^{1/m}$. In order to estimate the first term we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that X is an Hermitian Wigner matrix with finite fourth moments and m_n is given by (2.18). Then there is a constant C so that*

$$(3.40) \quad \mathbb{E}_X [|m_n(z) - \mathbb{E}_X[m_n(z)]|^2] \leq \frac{C}{n^2 |\operatorname{Im} z|^4}$$

for all z with $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let r_n be a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero. Then there is a constant C such that if n is sufficiently large,*

$$(3.41) \quad |\mathbb{E}_X[m_n(z)] - m(z)| \leq \frac{C}{n|\operatorname{Im} z|^5}$$

for all z such that $(nr_n)^{-1/5} \leq |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 1$. Here $m(z)$ is given by (2.19).

These two lemmas can be extracted from [4] (lemma 2.5) and [2], but for completeness and convenience we give somewhat streamlined proofs in section 3.4.

Combining (3.40) and (3.41) we get

$$(3.42) \quad \mathbb{E}_X[|m_n(z) - m(z)|^2]^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{n|\operatorname{Im} z|^5}$$

if $(nr_n)^{-1/5} \leq |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 1$. Now, by (3.38),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_X \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \phi_A(\nu_j/n) - n \int_{-1}^1 \phi_A(x) u(x) dx \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \frac{n^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\gamma} |dz| \int_{\gamma} |dw| |\phi_A(z)| |\phi_A(w)| \mathbb{E}_X[|m_n(z) - m(z)|^2]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}_X[|m_n(w) - m(w)|^2]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{v^{10}} e^{2A} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.35), (3.36) and (3.42) provided $(nr_n)^{-1/5} \leq v \leq 1$. Hence, the first term in the right hand side of (3.39) is $\leq C v^{-5} e^{Av}$. We need $Av \leq 1$, which gives $v \leq 1/A = (C_m n^{1-\epsilon_0/2})^{-1/m}$. Also, we need $v^{-5} \leq n^{\epsilon_0/2}$, i.e. $v \geq n^{-5\epsilon_0/2}$. Take $v = n^{-\delta_0}$, where $\delta_0 = \min(1/10, \epsilon_0)$, $r_n = n^{-1/2}$ and m so large that $m\delta_0 \geq 1$. Then all the required inequalities are satisfied and we have proved 3.4. $\square$

3.3. The correlation kernel at the edge. In this section we will prove theorem 3.1. Let

$$(3.43) \quad f(z) = \frac{z^2}{2} - az + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^n \log(Sz - \nu_j).$$

Then, by (2.33),

$$(3.44) \quad K_{n,S}^{\nu}(aS + u, aS + v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma_L} dz \int_{\Gamma_M} dw \frac{e^{-vw+uz}}{w-z} e^{S(f(w)-f(z))}.$$

Note that a and b are chosen so that $f'(b) = f''(b) = 0$. We can now argue as in section 2.3 in order to find good contours. Define $g(t) = \operatorname{Re} f(x(t) + iy(t))$, where $x(0) = b$, $y(0) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g' &= xx' - yy' - ax' + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S(xx' + yy') - \nu_j x'}{(Sx - \nu_j)^2 + S^2 y^2} \\ (3.45) \quad &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{S(xx' - yy') - 2bSx' + x'\nu_j}{(bS - \nu_j)^2} + \frac{S(xx'' + yy' - \nu_j x')}{(Sx - \nu_j)^2 + S^2 y^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.1) and (3.2) in the second equality. If we write the expression in the last sum in (3.45) as on fraction the numerator becomes

$$S^2(-x^2x' + 2yy'x + y^2x' + 2bxx' - 2byy' - b^2x')\nu_j \\ + S^3((xx' - yy' - 2bx')(x^2 + y^2) + b^2(xx' + yy')).$$

We want to choose $x(t) + iy(t)$ so that the expression in the numerator is independent of ν_j which gives the equation

$$-\frac{1}{3}x^3 + y^2x + bx^2 - by^2 - bx = C.$$

Since $x(0) = b$, $y(0) = 0$ we see that $C = -b^3/3$, and we obtain

$$y^2(x - b) = \frac{1}{3}(x - b)^3.$$

We see that $x(t) = b$ is one possibility and $y(t) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(x(t) - b)$ another. The choice $x(t) = b$, $y(t) = t$ gives

$$(3.46) \quad g'(t) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^3 t^3}{(bS - \nu_j)^2 ((bS - \nu_j)^2 + S^2 t^2)}$$

and the choice $x(t) = t$, $y(t) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(t - b)$ gives

$$(3.47) \quad g'(t) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^3 t^3}{(bS - \nu_j)^2 ((bS - \nu_j + St)^2 + S^2 t^2/3)}.$$

This leads us to the following choice of contours. Let γ be given by $z(t)$, where

$$(3.48) \quad z(t) = \begin{cases} b + te^{\pi i/6}, & t \leq 0 \\ b + te^{5\pi i/6}, & t \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and let Γ be given by $w(s) = b + is$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We can deform the contour γ_L in (3.44) to γ and Γ_M to Γ .

From (3.1), (3.46) and $\nu \in F_n$ we see that for $t \geq 0$

$$g'(t) \leq - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{St^3}{(bS - \nu_j)^2 (\beta_0^2 + t^2)} = - \frac{t^3}{\beta_0^2 + t^2}$$

and similarly for $t \leq 0$,

$$g'(t) \geq - \frac{t^3}{\beta_0^2 + t^2}.$$

From this it follows that

$$(3.49) \quad \operatorname{Re} f(w(s)) - f(b) \leq \begin{cases} -s^4/8\beta_0^2 & \text{for } 0 \leq |s| \leq \beta_0 \\ (\beta_0^2 - 2s^2)/8 & \text{for } |s| \geq \beta_0. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that $(Sb - \nu_j + St)^2 \leq 2\beta_0^2 S^2 + 2S^2 t^2$ we get in a similar way from (3.47), that

$$(3.50) \quad f(b) - \operatorname{Re} f(z(t)) \leq \begin{cases} -t^4/24\beta_0^2 & \text{for } 0 \leq |s| \leq \beta_0 \\ (\beta_0^2 - 2t^2)/24 & \text{for } |s| \geq \beta_0. \end{cases}$$

Set $\epsilon = S^{-5/24}$ and let $I_1 = (-\infty, \epsilon]$, $I_2 = [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, $I_3 = [\epsilon, \infty)$. Define Γ_k by $w(s)$, $s \in I_k$ and γ_k by $z(t)$, $t \in I_k$. Let

$$I_{jk} = \frac{e^{(v-u)b} dS^{1/3}}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\gamma_j} dz \int_{\Gamma_k} dw \frac{e^{-vw+uz}}{w-z} e^{S(f(w)-f(z))},$$

where $u = dS^{1/3}\xi$, $v = dS^{1/3}\eta$. Then

$$dS^{1/3} e^{(\eta-\xi)dS^{1/3}} K_{n,S}^\nu(aS + dS^{1/3}\xi, aS + dS^{1/3}\eta) = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 I_{jk}.$$

We first show that

$$(3.51) \quad |I_{1,k}|, |I_{3,k}| \leq C e^{-cS^{1/8}\xi - cS^{1/6}}$$

for $S \geq 1$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. Consider $I_{3,k}$, the estimation of $I_{1,k}$ is analogous. If $z \in \gamma_3$ and $w \in \Gamma$, then

$$(3.52) \quad \left| \frac{e^{-\eta dS^{1/3}(w-b) + \xi dS^{1/3}(z-b)}}{w-z} \right| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} e^{-C\epsilon dS^{1/3}\xi} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} e^{-CS^{1/8}\xi}.$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^2}{(bS - \nu_j)^3} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S}{(bS - \nu_j)^2} \frac{1}{b - \nu_j/S} \in [1/\beta_0, 1/\alpha_0],$$

by (3.1) and (3.4), which gives $d \in [1/\beta_0^{1/3}, 1/\alpha_0^{1/3}]$. It follows from (3.49) that

$$(3.53) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{S(\operatorname{Re} f(w(s)) - f(b))} ds \leq \frac{C}{S^{1/4}}.$$

Furthermore, (3.50) gives

$$(3.54) \quad \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{S(f(b) - \operatorname{Re} f(z(t)))} dt \leq \frac{C}{S^{1/4}} e^{-CS\epsilon^4} \leq \frac{C}{S^{1/4}} e^{-CS^{1/6}}.$$

If we combine (3.51), (3.53) and (3.54) we get (3.51).

Next, we show that there are positive constants C, c, S_0 such that for $S \geq S_0$,

$$(3.55) \quad |I_{2,k}| \leq C e^{-\xi} e^{-cS^{1/6}}.$$

We treat $I_{2,3}$, the proof for $I_{2,1}$ is analogous. We have that

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{2,3} &= \frac{dS^{1/3}}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} dt \int_{-\epsilon}^{\infty} ds \frac{z'(t)}{w(s) - z(t)} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\eta dS^{1/3}(w(s)-b) + \xi dS^{1/3}(z(t)-b) + S(f(w(s)) - f(b)) + S(f(b) - f(z(t)))}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 3.7. *If $|z - b| \leq \alpha_0/2$, then*

$$(3.57) \quad f(z) = f(b) + \frac{1}{3}d^3(z-b)^3 - \lambda d^4(z-b)^4 + R(z-b),$$

where

$$(3.58) \quad |R(z)| \leq 20\alpha_0^{-5}|z|^5$$

and $\lambda \in [(\alpha_0^{2/3}/\beta_0)^2/4, (\beta_0^{2/3}/\alpha_0)^2/4]$.

Proof. Let $h(t) = f(b + t(z - b))$. Then Taylor's formula yields (3.57) with $\lambda = -f^{(4)}(b)/24d^4$ and

$$R(z) = \frac{z^5}{120} f^{(5)}(b) + \frac{z^5}{120} \int_0^1 (1-t)^5 f^{(5)}(b + t(z-b)) dt.$$

Now, by (3.1) and (3.4),

$$-\frac{1}{24} f^{(4)}(b) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^3}{(bS - \nu_j)^4} \in [\frac{1}{4\beta_0^2}, \frac{1}{4\alpha_0^2}],$$

and similarly $d^4 \in [1/\beta_0^{4/3}, 1/\alpha_0^{4/3}]$. Hence, the result for λ follows. If $|z-b| \leq \alpha_0/2$, then $|S(b + t(z-b)) - \nu_j| \geq |bS - \nu_j|/2$ and thus, by (3.1) and (3.4),

$$|f^{(5)}(b + t(z-b))| \leq 24 \cdot 2^5 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{S^4}{(bS - \nu_j)^5} \leq \frac{24 \cdot 2^5}{\alpha_0^3}.$$

This gives (3.58). $\square$

Using (3.48), (3.57) and making the change of variables $\tau = dS^{1/3}t$, the t -integral in (3.56) becomes

$$(3.59) \quad e^{5\pi i/6} \int_0^{\epsilon'} \frac{1}{idS^{1/3}s - \tau e^{5\pi i/6}} e^{i\xi\tau e^{\pi i/3} - i\tau^3/3 + \lambda\tau^4 e^{4\pi i/3}/S^{1/3} - R_S(\tau e^{5\pi i/6})} d\tau \\ + e^{\pi i/6} \int_{-\epsilon'}^0 \frac{1}{idS^{1/3}s - \tau e^{\pi i/6}} e^{i\xi\tau e^{-\pi i/3} - i\tau^3/3 + \lambda\tau^4 e^{2\pi i/3}/S^{1/3} - R_S(\tau e^{\pi i/6})} d\tau,$$

where $\epsilon' = dS^{1/3}\epsilon = dS^{1/8}$ and $R_S(\tau) = SR(\tau/dS^{1/3})$. Let C'_+ be the curve from 0 to ϵ' consisting of the line segments from 0 to $-i$, from $-i$ to $\epsilon' - i$ and from $\epsilon' - i$ to ϵ' , and C'_- the curve from $-\epsilon'$ to 0 consisting of the line segments from $-\epsilon'$ to $-\epsilon' - i$, from $-\epsilon' - i$ to $-i$ and from $-i$ to 0. Now, let C_- be the curve obtained from C'_- by rotating it around the origin by an angle $-\pi/3$, and let C_+ be the curve obtained from C'_+ by rotating it around the origin an angle $\pi/3$. The sum of the two integrals in (3.59) can then be written

$$(3.60) \quad i \int_{C_- + C_+} \frac{1}{idS^{1/3} - iz} e^{i\xi z + iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz)} dz.$$

The contour $C_- + C_+$ can be deformed into $C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5$, where

- C_1 is the line segment from $\epsilon' e^{2\pi i/3}$ to $\epsilon' e^{2\pi i/3} + e^{-5\pi i/6}$,
- C_2 is the line segment from $\epsilon' e^{2\pi i/3} + e^{-5\pi i/6}$ to $-\sqrt{3} + i$,
- C_3 is the line segment from $-\sqrt{3} + i$ to $\sqrt{3} + i$,
- C_4 is the line segment from $\sqrt{3} + i$ to $\epsilon' e^{\pi i/3} + e^{-\pi i/6}$ and
- C_5 is the line segment from $\epsilon' e^{\pi i/3} + e^{-\pi i/6}$ to $\epsilon' e^{\pi i/3}$.

The integral in (3.60) can then be written

$$(3.61) \quad i \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{C_j} \frac{1}{idS^{1/3} - iz} e^{i\xi z + iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz)} dz.$$

Combining this with (3.56) now leads us to the estimate

$$|I_{2,3}| \leq \frac{dS^{1/3}}{4\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} ds \int_{C_j} |dz| \frac{e^{\operatorname{Re} S(f(b+is) - f(b))}}{|dS^{1/3}s - z|} e^{\operatorname{Re}(i\xi z + iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz))}.$$

Note that $|dS^{1/3}s - z| \geq \epsilon'/2$ when $s \geq \epsilon$ and $z \in C_j$. Also, by (3.49),

$$(3.62) \quad \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{\operatorname{Re} S(f(b+is) - f(b))} ds \leq \frac{C}{S^{1/4}} e^{-cS\epsilon^4} = \frac{C}{S^{1/4}} e^{-cS^{1/6}}.$$

The contour C_3 is given by $z(t) = t + i$, $|t| \leq \sqrt{3}$. This gives, using (3.58),

$$(3.63) \quad \int_{C_3} e^{\operatorname{Re}(i\xi z + iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz))} |dz| \leq Ce^{-\xi}$$

for $S \geq 1$. The curve C_4 is given by $z(t) = e^{-\pi i/6} + te^{\pi i/3}$, $\sqrt{3} \leq t \leq \epsilon'$. Then $\operatorname{Re} z(t)^4 \leq 0$ if $t \geq \sqrt{3}$, $\operatorname{Re}(i\xi z(t) + iz(t)^3/3) \leq -\xi + 1/3 - t^2$ and $|R_S(iz(t))| \leq CS^{-1/24}$. This gives

$$(3.64) \quad \int_{C_4} e^{\operatorname{Re}(i\xi z + iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz))} |dz| \leq Ce^{-\xi}.$$

The curve $-C_5$ is given by $z(t) = \epsilon' e^{\pi i/3} + te^{-\pi i/6}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and inserting the parametrization and estimating we see that we get an estimate

$$\int_{C_5} e^{\operatorname{Re}(i\xi z + iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz))} |dz| \leq Ce^{-\xi}$$

if $\epsilon' \geq c_0$, where c_0 is a numerical constant. This holds if $S \geq S_0 = (c_0 \alpha_0^{1/3})^8 \geq (C_0/d)^8$. The estimates for the integrals along C_1 and C_2 are analogous to the estimates for C_5 and C_4 respectively. Collecting all the estimates we have proved (3.55).

It remains to estimate and compute the asymptotics of I_{22} . Let C' be the contour $t \rightarrow t+i$, $|t| \leq \epsilon'$ and let $C = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5$. The same type of computations that led to the expression (3.60) now gives

$$(3.65) \quad I_{22} = -\frac{i}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_C dz \int_{C'} dw \frac{e^{i\eta w + i\xi z}}{z+w} e^{iw^3/3 - \lambda w^4/S^{1/3} + R_S(-iw)} e^{iz^3/3 + \lambda z^4/S^{1/3} - R_S(iz)}.$$

By introducing the parametrizations of C and C' we can now again prove that

$$(3.66) \quad |I_{22}| \leq Ce^{-(\xi+\eta)},$$

for $S \geq S_0$ with a suitable S_0 that only depends on α_0 . Combining the estimates (3.51), (3.55) and (3.66) we obtain (3.5).

We now take $S = S_n = \kappa n$. It is clear from (3.51) and (3.55) that all contributions except I_{22} go to zero uniformly for ξ, η in a compact set and all $\nu \in F_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\tilde{C}$ be the “limit” of C as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3$, where $-\tilde{C}_1 : -\sqrt{3} + i + te^{2\pi i/3}$, $t \geq 0$, $\tilde{C}_2 : t + i$, $|t| \leq \sqrt{3}$, $\tilde{C}_3 : \sqrt{3} + i + te^{\pi i/3}$, $t \geq 0$. Introducing the parametrizations into the integral in (3.65) we see that we can let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.65) with $S = S_n$, to obtain

$$(3.67) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{22} = -\frac{i}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\tilde{C}} dz \int_{\operatorname{Im} w = 1} dw \frac{e^{i\eta w + i\xi z}}{z+w} e^{i(w^3+z^3)/3}$$

uniformly for ξ, η in a compact set and all $\nu \in F_n$. A deformation argument now shows that we can deform $\tilde{C}$ to $\operatorname{Im} z = 1$, and in this way we see that the right hand side of (3.67) equals the Airy kernel (1.7), see e.g. proposition 2.3 in [14]. This proves (3.6) and finishes the proof of theorem 3.1.

3.4. Proofs of some lemmas. The proofs of lemma 3.5 and lemma 3.6 can be extracted from [4] and [2]. The presentation below is somewhat streamlined for our purposes. We use notation similar to that in [4] and [2].

Recall that $X = (x_{ij})$ is an Hermitian Wigner matrix, such that $\mathbb{E}[|x_{ij}|^2] = \sigma^2$ and $\mathbb{E}[|x_{ij}|^4] \leq K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, where $K < \infty$ is a constant. Let X_k be the matrix obtained from X by removing row k and column k , and let α_k be column k of X with element number k removed. Set

$$D = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X - zI \right)^{-1}, \quad D_k = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_k - zI \right)^{-1}.$$

Write $v = \text{Im } z$. We can assume that $v > 0$. We need some identities from matrix theory.

Lemma 3.8. *The following identities hold,*

$$(3.68) \quad \text{tr } D = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{x_{kk}/\sqrt{n} - z - \alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k},$$

$$(3.69) \quad \text{tr } D - \text{tr } D_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k}{x_{kk}/\sqrt{n} - z - \alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k}.$$

Proof. The identity (3.68) follows from Cramer's rule and the formula

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B),$$

which holds whenever A is invertible. The formula (3.69) follows from the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}B(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}B(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ -(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for the inverse of a block matrix. $\square$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k &= -x_{kk}/\sqrt{n} + z + \alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k, \\ \beta_k^* &= z + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr } D_k, \\ \beta &= z + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr } D, \\ \epsilon_k^* &= \beta_k - \beta_k^* = -x_{kk}/\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \text{tr } D_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{E}_k$ denote expectation with respect to the elements in row/column k in X . We need the following basic estimates.

Lemma 3.9.

$$(3.70) \quad \text{Im } \beta_k = v \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k D_k^* \alpha_k \right) \geq v,$$

$$(3.71) \quad \text{Im } \beta_k^* = v \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \text{tr } D_k D_k^* \right) \geq v,$$

$$(3.72) \quad \left| 1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k \right| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k D_k^* \alpha_k,$$

$$(3.73) \quad |\mathrm{tr} D - \mathrm{tr} D_k| \leq \frac{1}{v},$$

$$(3.74) \quad \mathbb{E}_k[|\alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \mathrm{tr} D_k|^2] \leq K \mathrm{tr} D_k D_k^*,$$

$$(3.75) \quad \mathbb{E}_k[|\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \mathrm{tr} D_k^2|^2] \leq K \mathrm{tr} D_k^2 D_k^{*2}.$$

Proof. We see that

$$\mathrm{Im} \beta_k = v + \frac{1}{2in} (\alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k - \alpha_k^* D_k^* \alpha_k) = v(1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k D_k^* \alpha_k) \geq v,$$

which gives (3.70) and a similar argument proves (3.71). To prove (3.72) we write $D_k = U^* \mathrm{diag}((\lambda_j/\sqrt{n} - z)^{-1})U$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of X_k and U is unitary. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j/\sqrt{n} - z|^{-2} |(U \alpha_k)_j|^2 \\ &= (U \alpha_k)^* \mathrm{diag}((\lambda_j/\sqrt{n} - z)^{-1}) \mathrm{diag}((\lambda_j/\sqrt{n} - \bar{z})^{-1}) U \alpha_k = \alpha_k^* D_k D_k^* \alpha_k. \end{aligned}$$

We see from (3.69), (3.70) and (3.72) that

$$|\mathrm{tr} D - \mathrm{tr} D_k| = \frac{|1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k|}{|\beta_k|} \leq \frac{1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k D_k^* \alpha_k}{v(1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k D_k^* \alpha_k)} = \frac{1}{v},$$

which proves (3.73). Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix that does not depend on the elements in row/column k . Note that

$$(3.76) \quad \mathbb{E}_k[\alpha_k^* A \alpha_k] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma^2 a_{jj} = \sigma^2 \mathrm{tr} A.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_k[|\alpha_k^* D_k \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \mathrm{tr} D_k|^2] = \mathbb{E}_k[\alpha_k^* A^* \alpha_k \alpha_k^* A \alpha_k] - \sigma^4 (\mathrm{tr} A^*)(\mathrm{tr} A).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_k[\alpha_k^* A^* \alpha_k \alpha_k^* A \alpha_k] &= \mathbb{E}_k \left[\sum_{i,j,r,s} (\alpha_k^*)_i \bar{a}_{ji} (\alpha_k)_j (\alpha_k^*)_r a_{rs} (\alpha_k)_s \right] \\ &\leq K \sum_i |a_{ii}|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma^4 \bar{a}_{ii} a_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma^4 \bar{a}_{ji} a_{ji} \\ &= (K - 2\sigma^4) \sum_i |a_{ii}|^2 + \sigma^4 (\mathrm{tr} A^*)(\mathrm{tr} A) + \sigma^4 \mathrm{tr} A^* A \\ &\leq K \mathrm{tr} A^* A + \sigma^4 (\mathrm{tr} A^*)(\mathrm{tr} A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.74) and (3.75). $\square$

Let $\mathcal{F}_k$ be the σ -algebra generated by $\mathrm{Im} x_{jk}, \mathrm{Re} x_{jk}, k+1 \leq j \leq n, \mathcal{F}_n = \emptyset$. Define

$$z_k = \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr} D | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr} D | \mathcal{F}_k].$$

Then,

$$(3.77) \quad \mathbb{E}[|\mathrm{tr} D - \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr} D]|^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^n \bar{z}_j z_k \right] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|z_k|^2]$$

by orthogonality. Since $\text{tr } D_k$ is independent of the elements in row/column k ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{tr } D_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = \mathbb{E}[\text{tr } D_k | \mathcal{F}_k]$$

and hence

$$(3.78) \quad z_k = \mathbb{E}[\text{tr } D - \text{tr } D_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr } D - \text{tr } D_k | \mathcal{F}_k].$$

We can now give the

Proof. (of lemma 2.5) Note that $m_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr } D$ and that (3.73), (3.77) and (3.78) give

$$\mathbb{E}[|\text{tr } D - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr } D]|^2] \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{v^2} = \frac{2n}{v^2}.$$

□

We turn next to the

Proof. (of lemma 3.5) From (3.69) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } D - \text{tr } D_k &= (1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k) (\frac{1}{\beta_k^*} - \frac{1}{\beta_k}) - (1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k) \frac{1}{\beta_k^*} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_k^* (1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k)}{\beta_k^* \beta_k} - \frac{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr } D_k^2}{\beta_k^*} - \frac{\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \text{tr } D_k^2}{n \beta_k^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Since neither $\text{tr } D_k^2$ or β_k^* depends on row/column k , we see that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr } D_k^2}{\beta_k^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr } D_k^2}{\beta_k^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_k \right].$$

Hence, from (3.78), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\epsilon_k^* (1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k)}{\beta_k^* \beta_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\epsilon_k^* (1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k)}{\beta_k^* \beta_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \text{tr } D_k^2}{n \beta_k^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \text{tr } D_k^2}{n \beta_k^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_k \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[|z_k|^2] \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|\epsilon_k^*|^2 |1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k|^2}{|\beta_k^*|^2 |\beta_k|^2} \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \text{tr } D_k^2|^2}{n^2 |\beta_k^*|^2} \right].$$

We see from (3.70) and (3.72) that

$$(3.79) \quad \frac{|1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k|^2}{|\beta_k|^2} \leq \frac{1}{v^2}$$

and from (3.70) and (3.74) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_k[|\epsilon_k^*|^2] &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}_k[|\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \text{tr } D_k^2|^2] \\ (3.80) \quad &\leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{K}{n^2} \text{tr } D_k D_k^* \leq \frac{K + \sigma^2}{vn} \text{Im } \beta_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (3.71), (3.79) and (3.80)

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|\epsilon_k^*|^2 |1 + \frac{1}{n} \alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k|^2}{|\beta_k^*|^2 |\beta_k|^2} \right] \leq \frac{1}{v^3} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{|\beta_k^*|^2} \mathbb{E}_k[|\epsilon_k^*|^2] \right] \leq \frac{K + \sigma^2}{nv^4}.$$

Note that,

$$\mathrm{tr} D_k^2 D_k^{*2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|\lambda_j - z|^4} \leq \frac{1}{v^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|\lambda_j - z|^2} = \frac{1}{v^2} \mathrm{tr} D_k D_k^*$$

and hence, using also (3.71) and (3.75),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \mathrm{tr} D_k^2|^2}{n^2 |\beta_k^*|^2} \right] &\leq \frac{1}{nv} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n |\beta_k^*|} \mathbb{E}_k [|\alpha_k^* D_k^2 \alpha_k - \sigma^2 \mathrm{tr} D_k^2|^2] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{K}{nv} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{|\beta_k^*|} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{tr} D_k^2 D_k^{*2} \right] \leq \frac{K}{nv^3} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{tr} D_k D_k^*}{\mathrm{Im} \beta_k^*} \right] = \frac{K}{nv^4}. \end{aligned}$$

We see now from (3.77) that

$$(3.81) \quad \mathbb{E}[|\mathrm{tr} D - \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr} D]|^2] \leq \frac{2K + \sigma^2}{v^4}$$

□

We still have to give the

Proof. (of lemma 3.6) Set

$$(3.82) \quad \delta = \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)] + \frac{1}{z + \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)]}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \mathbb{E} \left[m_n(z) + \frac{1}{z + \sigma^2 m_n(z)} - \left(\frac{1}{z + \sigma^2 m_n(z)} - \frac{1}{z + \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)]} \right) \right] \\ (3.83) \quad &= \mathbb{E} \left[m_n(z) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right] + \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{m_n(z) - \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)]}{\beta \mathbb{E}[\beta]} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.82), $\mathrm{Im} \beta \geq v$, we obtain

$$(3.84) \quad \left| \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{m_n(z) - \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)]}{\beta \mathbb{E}[\beta]} \right] \right| \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{v^2} \frac{\sqrt{2K + \sigma^2}}{nv^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 \sqrt{2K + \sigma^2}}{nv^4}.$$

Using (3.68) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[m_n(z) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_k^*} + \frac{1}{\beta_k^*} \frac{1}{\beta_k} \right) \right] \\ (3.85) \quad &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta_k \beta_k^*} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mathrm{tr} D - \mathrm{tr} D_k}{\beta \beta_k^*} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.70), (3.73) and $\mathrm{Im} \beta \geq v$, that

$$(3.86) \quad \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mathrm{tr} D - \mathrm{tr} D_k}{\beta \beta_k^*} \right] \right| \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{nv^3}.$$

Also,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta_k \beta_k^*} \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta_k^{*2}} \right] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\epsilon_k^{*2}}{\beta_k \beta_k^{*2}} \right].$$

We see that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta_k^{*2}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_k^{*2}} \mathbb{E}_k [\epsilon_k^*] \right] = 0$$

by (3.76). Furthermore, by (3.70), (3.71) and (3.80),

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\epsilon_k^{*2}}{\beta_k \beta_k^{*2}} \right] \right| \leq \frac{K + \sigma^2}{nv^3}.$$

Combining this with (3.86), we see from (3.83), (3.84) and (3.85) that

$$(3.87) \quad |\delta| \leq \frac{K + 2\sigma^2}{nv^3} + \frac{\sigma^2 \sqrt{2K + \sigma^2}}{nv^4} \leq \frac{C_0}{nv^4}$$

if $v \leq 1$.

Solving the equation (3.82) for $\mathbb{E}[m_n(z)]$ we obtain

$$(3.88) \quad \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)] = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(-z + \sigma^2\delta + \sqrt{(z + \sigma^2\delta)^2 - 4\sigma^2}).$$

Since $\text{Im } \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)] \geq 0$ we have to choose the square root with positive imaginary part. Let

$$m(z) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(-z + \sqrt{z^2 - 4\sigma^2}) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\pi} \int_{-2\sigma}^{2\sigma} \frac{\sqrt{4\sigma^2 - x^2}}{x - z} dx.$$

Then,

$$(3.89) \quad |\mathbb{E}[m_n(z)] - m(z)| \leq \frac{|\delta|}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} |\sqrt{z^2 - 4\sigma^2} - \sqrt{z^2 - 4\sigma^2}|.$$

Note that, by (3.87) and the assumption that $v \geq (nr_n)^{-1/5}$, it follows that $|\delta/v| \leq C_0 r_n$. For n sufficiently large, $C_0 r_n \leq 1/3$ and hence $|\delta/v| \leq 1/3$. We now take $\sigma^2 = 1/4$. It follows from (3.88) and $\text{Im } \mathbb{E}[m_n(z)] \geq 0$ that $\text{Im } \sqrt{(z + \delta/4)^2 - 1} \geq v$, and similarly we find $\text{Im } \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \geq v$. Thus,

$$(3.90) \quad \begin{aligned} |\sqrt{(z + \delta/4)^2 - 1} - \sqrt{z^2 - 1}| &= \frac{|2\delta z + \delta^2|}{|\sqrt{(z + \delta/4)^2 - 1} + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}|} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{v} (|z| + \delta v) \leq \frac{\delta}{v} (|z| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

If $|z| \leq 4$, (3.87), (3.89) and (3.90) gives (3.41). If $|z| \geq 4$, then (3.90) gives

$$|\sqrt{(z + \delta/4)^2 - 1} - \sqrt{z^2 - 1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z|,$$

and since $|\sqrt{z^2 - 1}| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z|$, we obtain

$$|\sqrt{(z + \delta/4)^2 - 1} + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z|.$$

Thus, (3.90) gives

$$|\sqrt{(z + \delta/4)^2 - 1} - \sqrt{z^2 - 1}| \leq \frac{2|\delta||z| + \delta^2}{|z|/2} \leq 5\frac{\delta}{v},$$

and again we get (3.41). $\square$

Finally, we prove lemma 2.3.

Proof. Let $\det_2(I - A)$ be the regularized determinant defined for Hilbert-Schmidt operators, see e.g. [16]. If A is a trace-class operator, then

$$(3.91) \quad \det(I - A) = \det_2(I - A) e^{-\text{tr } A},$$

where the left hand side is the Fredholm determinant. Now, see e.g. [16] ch. 9, for two Hilbert-Schmidt operators A and B ,

$$(3.92) \quad \begin{aligned} |\det_2(I - A) - \det_2(I - B)| &\leq \|A - B\|_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}(\|A\|_2 + \|B\|_2 + 1)^2} \\ &\leq \|A - B\|_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}(\|A - B\|_2 + 2\|B\|_2 + 1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.93) \quad |\det_2(I - A)| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}\|A\|_2 + 1}.$$

Using (3.91) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \det_2(I - A) - \det_2(I - B) &= (\det_2(I - A) - \det_2(I - B))e^{-\text{tr } A} \\ &\quad + \det_2(I - B)e^{-\text{tr } B}(e^{-(\text{tr } A - \text{tr } B)} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (2.16) follows from (3.92) and (3.93). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Auffinger, G. Ben Arous, S. Péché, *Poisson Convergence for the largest eigenvalues of heavy-tailed matrices*, arXiv:0710:3132
- [2] Z. D. Bai, *Convergence rate of expected spectral distributions of large random matrices. Part I. Wigner matrices*, Ann. of Probab., **21** (1993), 625 - 648
- [3] Z. D. Bai, *Methodologies in spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices, a review*, Statistica Sinica, **9** (1999), 611 - 677
- [4] Z. D. Bai, B. Miao, J. Tsay, *Convergence rates of the spectral distribution of large Wigner matrices*, International Math. J., **1** (2001), 65 - 90
- [5] G. Ben Arous, A. Guionnet, *The spectrum of heavy-tailed random matrices*, Commun. Math. Phys., **278** (2008), 715 - 751
- [6] G. Ben Arous, S. Péché, *Universality of local eigenvalue statistics for some sample covariance matrices*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 1316 - 1357
- [7] G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, M. Potters, *On the top eigenvalue of heavy-tailed random matrices*, Europhysics Letters, **78** (2007), 10001
- [8] E. Brézin, S. Hikami, *Spectral form factor in a random matrix theory*, Phys. Rev. E, **55** (1997), 4067 - 4083
- [9] P. Cizeau, J.-P. Bouchaud, *Theory of Lévy matrices*, Physical Rev. E, **50** (1994), 1810 - 1822
- [10] L. Erdős, J. Ramirez, B. Schlein, T. Tao, V. Vu, H.-T. Yau, *Bulk universality for Wigner Hermitian matrices with subexponential decay*, arXiv:0906:4400
- [11] L. Erdős, J. Ramirez, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau, *Bulk universality for Wigner matrices*, arXiv:0905.4176
- [12] A. Guionnet, O. Zeitouni, *Concentration of the spectral measure for large matrices*, Electron. Comm. Probab. **5** (2000), 119 - 136 (electronic)
- [13] K. Johansson, *Universality of the local spacing distribution in certain ensembles of Hermitian Wigner matrices*, Commun. Math. Phys., **215** (2001), 683 - 705
- [14] K. Johansson, *Discrete polynuclear growth and determinantal processes*, Commun. Math. Phys., **242** (2003), 277 - 329
- [15] A. Ruzmaikina, *Universality of the edge distribution of the eigenvalues of Wigner random matrices with polynomially decaying distributions of entries*, Commun. Math. Phys., **261** (2006), 277-296
- [16] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and their applications*, 2nd ed, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol 120, American Mathematical Society, 2005
- [17] A. Soshnikov, *Universality at the edge of the spectrum in Wigner random matrices*, Commun. Math. Phys., **207** (1999), 697 - 733
- [18] A. Soshnikov, *Poisson statistics for the largest eigenvalue of Wigner matrices with heavy tails*, Elect. Commun. in Probab., **9** (2004), 82 - 91

- [19] T. Tao, V. Vu, *Random matrices: Universality of local eigenvalue statistics*, arXiv:0906.0510, to appear in Acta Math.
- [20] T. Tao, V. Vu, *Random matrices: Universality of local eigenvalue statistics up to the edge*, arXiv:0908.1982
- [21] T. Tao, V. Vu, *Random covariance matrices: Universality of local statistics of eigenvalues*, arXiv:0912.0966

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SE-100 44 STOCKHOLM,
SWEDEN

E-mail address: `kurtj@kth.se`